

E-FILED - 8/2/10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROGER SCOTT ALLEN,)	No. C 10-1840 RMW (PR)
)	
Petitioner,)	ORDER OF TRANSFER
)	
vs.)	
)	
J.B. WALKER,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

Petitioner, a California proceeding pro se, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. For the reasons stated below, this court will TRANSFER the instant petition.

Petitioner filed a federal habeas petition. However, petitioner alleges that he is challenging his conditions of confinement. A writ of habeas corpus is not a proper vehicle to challenge conditions of confinement unrelated to the fact or duration of confinement. See Ramirez v. Galaza, 334 F.3d 850, 855 (9th Cir. 2003). A section 1983 action is a proper remedy for a state prisoner constitutionally challenging the conditions of his prison life rather than the fact or length of his custody. Ramirez, 334 F.3d at 855; see Badea v. Cox, 931 F.2d 573, 574 (9th Cir. 1991) (challenges to conditions of confinement by state prisoners should be presented in a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action rather than a habeas corpus petition).


Even assuming this court has subject matter jurisdiction, it lacks personal jurisdiction.

1 The acts complained of occurred in Sacramento and the petitioner is located at California State
2 Prison, Sacramento, which lies within the venue of the Eastern District of California.

3 Therefore, venue properly lies in the Eastern District. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
4 Accordingly, this case is TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Eastern
5 District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). The clerk shall transfer the entire file to the
6 Eastern District of California.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED: 7/30/10


RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge